UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/532,353   | 07/05/2005  | Mattias Nystrom      | 38148               | 9063             |  |
| PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | ALIE, GHASSEM       |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 3724                |                  |  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |             |                      | 09/26/2008          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence   | 10/532,353  | NYSTROM ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | GHASSEM ALIE  | 3724   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 Ju</u>   | ne 2008.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| · <u> </u>   | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·—   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>14,16-18,22,23,25,27,28,30 and 31</u> is/   | ,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | n from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14, 16-18, 22-23, 25, 27-28 and 30-31</u>  | are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  |   | •  |  |  |  |  |
|  | animor. Note the attached office  | 7,00,011 01 1011111 1 0 102.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>   | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal P  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | 6) Other:   | <del></del>  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/532,353 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## Election/Restrictions

It should be noted that this restriction requirement is necessitated by amendment filled on 01/30/08 and further amendment filled on 06/19/08. It should also be noted that claim 29 depends from cancelled claim 24. Therefore, it is assumed that claim 29 is cancelled and it is not included in this restriction requirement.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - IA. Claim 16, drawn to a handle having a safety button with an arm that is moveable when the button is pressed.
  - IB. Claims 17 and 25, drawn to a handle having a protruding circular-shaped edge surrounding a part of the entire pin.
  - IC. Claims 18, 27, 31, drawn to a handle having a pivotable line wheel as a further pivotable element, and a supporting edge surrounding a part of the entire separate metallic or plastic pin.
  - ID. Claims 22-23, drawn to a handle including a first handle section having a hole surrounding a part of the entire locking pin.

Claims 28 and 30 will be examined with one of the elected Groups.

Claim 14 link(s) inventions IA-ID. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s) 14 and 20. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 **Claims that require all the** 

Art Unit: 3724

limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions IA-ID are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, e.g., subcombination IA has a separate utility such as it could be used without the above-mentioned features in inventions IB-ID. Conversely, each one of the subcombinations IB-ID has a separate utility such as it could be used without the above-mentioned feature in invention IA. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR

1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 4

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,353 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 5. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 7. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

Application/Control Number: 10/532,353 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ghassem Alie whose telephone number is (571) 272-4501.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (501) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, SEE <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ghassem Alie/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

September 23, 2008